

Moments of Moments

Jon Keating

School of Mathematics
University of Bristol

j.p.keating@bristol.ac.uk

October 4, 2018

Joint work with Emma Bailey (University of Bristol).

Joint work with Emma Bailey (University of Bristol).

arXiv:1807.06605

Moments of Characteristic Polynomials

Moments of Characteristic Polynomials

Characteristic polynomial of an $N \times N$ unitary matrix A on the unit circle in the complex plane:

$$P_N(A, \theta) = \det(I - Ae^{-i\theta}).$$

Moments of Characteristic Polynomials

Characteristic polynomial of an $N \times N$ unitary matrix A on the unit circle in the complex plane:

$$P_N(A, \theta) = \det(I - Ae^{-i\theta}).$$

Consider $A \in \text{CUE}_N$; i.e. $A \in U(N)$ endowed with Haar measure.

Moments of Characteristic Polynomials

Characteristic polynomial of an $N \times N$ unitary matrix A on the unit circle in the complex plane:

$$P_N(A, \theta) = \det(I - Ae^{-i\theta}).$$

Consider $A \in \text{CUE}_N$; i.e. $A \in U(N)$ endowed with Haar measure.

Moments:

$$M_N(\beta) = \mathbb{E}_{A \in U(N)} |P_N(A, \theta)|^{2\beta} = \mathbb{E}_{A \in U(N)} \exp(2\beta \log |P_N(A, \theta)|)$$

Calculating Moments

Calculating Moments

- Analytical approach: Selberg Integral (Baker & Forrester, Keating & Snaith, ...)

Calculating Moments

- Analytical approach: Selberg Integral (Baker & Forrester, Keating & Snaith, ...)
- Representation-theoretic approach: symmetric polynomials (Bump & Gamburd, Dehaye, ...)

Calculating Moments

- Analytical approach: Selberg Integral (Baker & Forrester, Keating & Snaith, ...)
- Representation-theoretic approach: symmetric polynomials (Bump & Gamburd, Dehaye, ...)

For $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$

$$M_N(\beta) = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(j)\Gamma(j+2\beta)}{(\Gamma(j+\beta))^2} = \prod_{0 \leq i, j \leq \beta-1} \left(1 + \frac{N}{i+j+1}\right)$$
$$\sim N^{\beta^2} \prod_{m=0}^{\beta-1} \frac{m!}{(m+\beta)!}$$

Moments of Moments

Moments of Moments

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) := \mathbb{E}_{A \in U(N)} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P_N(A, \theta)|^{2\beta} d\theta \right)^k$$

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) := \mathbb{E}_{A \in U(N)} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P_N(A, \theta)|^{2\beta} d\theta \right)^k$$

Motivation:

- Extreme values of $|P_N(A, \theta)|$ (Fyodorov, Hiary & Keating, Fyodorov & Keating, ...)

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) := \mathbb{E}_{A \in U(N)} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P_N(A, \theta)|^{2\beta} d\theta \right)^k$$

Motivation:

- Extreme values of $|P_N(A, \theta)|$ (Fyodorov, Hiary & Keating, Fyodorov & Keating, . . .)
- Value distribution of the random variable $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P_N(A, \theta)|^{2\beta} d\theta$ (see e.g. Fyodorov, Gnuzmann & Keating)

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) := \mathbb{E}_{A \in U(N)} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P_N(A, \theta)|^{2\beta} d\theta \right)^k$$

Motivation:

- Extreme values of $|P_N(A, \theta)|$ (Fyodorov, Hiary & Keating, Fyodorov & Keating, . . .)
- Value distribution of the random variable $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P_N(A, \theta)|^{2\beta} d\theta$ (see e.g. Fyodorov, Gnuzmann & Keating)
- Connection to Gaussian Multiplicative Chaos (see e.g. Nikula, Saksman & Webb)

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) := \mathbb{E}_{A \in U(N)} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P_N(A, \theta)|^{2\beta} d\theta \right)^k$$

Motivation:

- Extreme values of $|P_N(A, \theta)|$ (Fyodorov, Hiary & Keating, Fyodorov & Keating, ...)
- Value distribution of the random variable $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P_N(A, \theta)|^{2\beta} d\theta$ (see e.g. Fyodorov, Gnuzmann & Keating)
- Connection to Gaussian Multiplicative Chaos (see e.g. Nikula, Saksman & Webb)
- Applications to modelling the moments of the Riemann zeta-function in short intervals

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) := \mathbb{E}_{A \in U(N)} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P_N(A, \theta)|^{2\beta} d\theta \right)^k$$

Motivation:

- Extreme values of $|P_N(A, \theta)|$ (Fyodorov, Hiary & Keating, Fyodorov & Keating, ...)
- Value distribution of the random variable $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |P_N(A, \theta)|^{2\beta} d\theta$ (see e.g. Fyodorov, Gnuzmann & Keating)
- Connection to Gaussian Multiplicative Chaos (see e.g. Nikula, Saksman & Webb)
- Applications to modelling the moments of the Riemann zeta-function in short intervals
- Applications in Quantum Chaos etc

Conjecture (Fyodorov & Bouchaud, Fyodorov & Keating)

When $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) \sim \begin{cases} \left(\frac{(G(1+\beta))^2}{G(1+2\beta)\Gamma(1-\beta^2)} \right)^k \Gamma(1 - k\beta^2) N^{k\beta^2} & k < 1/\beta^2 \\ c(k, \beta) N^{k^2\beta^2+1-k} & k \geq 1/\beta^2 \end{cases}$$

where $G(s)$ is the Barnes G -function and $c(k, \beta)$ is a complicated (unspecified) function of k and β .

Heuristic Justification

Heuristic Justification

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^k |P_N(A, \theta_j)|^{2\beta} d\theta_j. \quad (1)$$

Heuristic Justification

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^k |P_N(A, \theta_j)|^{2\beta} d\theta_j. \quad (1)$$

The integrand in (1) can be evaluated **asymptotically** when $N \rightarrow \infty$ and the θ_j s are fixed and distinct using the appropriate Fisher-Hartwig formula.

Heuristic Justification

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^k |P_N(A, \theta_j)|^{2\beta} d\theta_j. \quad (1)$$

The integrand in (1) can be evaluated **asymptotically** when $N \rightarrow \infty$ and the θ_j s are fixed and distinct using the appropriate Fisher-Hartwig formula. The resulting integrals w.r.t. the θ_j s can be computed when $k < 1/\beta^2$ using the Selberg integral.

Heuristic Justification

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^k |P_N(A, \theta_j)|^{2\beta} d\theta_j. \quad (1)$$

The integrand in (1) can be evaluated **asymptotically** when $N \rightarrow \infty$ and the θ_j s are fixed and distinct using the appropriate Fisher-Hartwig formula.

The resulting integrals w.r.t. the θ_j s can be computed when $k < 1/\beta^2$ using the Selberg integral.

This expression diverges as k approaches $1/\beta^2$ when singularities associated with coalescences of the θ_j s become important.

Heuristic Justification

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^k |P_N(A, \theta_j)|^{2\beta} d\theta_j. \quad (1)$$

The integrand in (1) can be evaluated **asymptotically** when $N \rightarrow \infty$ and the θ_j s are fixed and distinct using the appropriate Fisher-Hartwig formula. The resulting integrals w.r.t. the θ_j s can be computed when $k < 1/\beta^2$ using the Selberg integral.

This expression diverges as k approaches $1/\beta^2$ when singularities associated with coalescences of the θ_j s become important.

Developing a precise asymptotic in the range $k \geq 1/\beta^2$ requires a Fisher-Hartwig formula that is valid uniformly through coalescences.

Previous Results

Previous Results

- $k = 1$ - straightforward.

Previous Results

- $k = 1$ - straightforward.
- $k = 2, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ - follows from formulae of Keating, Rodgers, Roditty-Gershon & Rudnick.

Previous Results

- $k = 1$ - straightforward.
- $k = 2$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ - follows from formulae of Keating, Rodgers, Roditty-Gershon & Rudnick.
- When $k = 2$ a uniform Fisher-Hartwig asymptotic formula has been established by Claeys and Krasovsky, who used this to prove the powers of N appearing in the conjecture in that case, for all β , and to relate $c(2, \beta)$ to a Painlevé transcendent.

Previous Results

- $k = 1$ - straightforward.
- $k = 2, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ - follows from formulae of Keating, Rodgers, Roditty-Gershon & Rudnick.
- When $k = 2$ a uniform Fisher-Hartwig asymptotic formula has been established by Claeys and Krasovsky, who used this to prove the powers of N appearing in the conjecture in that case, for all β , and to relate $c(2, \beta)$ to a Painlevé transcendent.
- Webb proved results consistent with the conjecture when $k\beta^2$ is small.

Previous Results

- $k = 1$ - straightforward.
- $k = 2$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ - follows from formulae of Keating, Rodgers, Roditty-Gershon & Rudnick.
- When $k = 2$ a uniform Fisher-Hartwig asymptotic formula has been established by Claeys and Krasovsky, who used this to prove the powers of N appearing in the conjecture in that case, for all β , and to relate $c(2, \beta)$ to a Painlevé transcendent.
- Webb proved results consistent with the conjecture when $k\beta^2$ is small.
- In the analogous problem in which $\log |P_N(A, \theta)|$ is replaced by a random Fourier series with the same correlation structure, the analogue of conjecture due to Fyodorov and Bouchaud has recently been proved in the regime $k < 1/\beta^2$ for all k and β by Remy using ideas from conformal field theory.

Results

Consider the case when $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$.

Results

Consider the case when $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$.

So $k\beta^2 \geq 1$; i.e. we expect $\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) \sim c(k, \beta)N^{k^2\beta^2+1-k}$.

Results

Consider the case when $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$.

So $k\beta^2 \geq 1$; i.e. we expect $\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) \sim c(k, \beta)N^{k^2\beta^2+1-k}$.

Then we have

Theorem [Bailey-K (2018)]

Let $k, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta)$ is a polynomial in N .

Results

Consider the case when $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$.

So $k\beta^2 \geq 1$; i.e. we expect $\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) \sim c(k, \beta)N^{k^2\beta^2+1-k}$.

Then we have

Theorem [Bailey-K (2018)]

Let $k, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta)$ is a polynomial in N .

Theorem [Bailey-K (2018)]

Let $k, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) = \gamma_{k, \beta} N^{k^2\beta^2 - k + 1} + O(N^{k^2\beta^2 - k}),$$

where $\gamma_{k, \beta}$ is a polynomial in k and β .

Key idea

Key idea

Recall that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^k |P_N(A, \theta_j)|^{2\beta} d\theta_j.$$

Recall that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^k |P_N(A, \theta_j)|^{2\beta} d\theta_j.$$

Instead of evaluating $\mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^k |P_N(A, \theta_j)|^{2\beta}$ **asymptotically**, use instead **exact representations**.

Recall that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^k |P_N(A, \theta_j)|^{2\beta} d\theta_j.$$

Instead of evaluating $\mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^k |P_N(A, \theta_j)|^{2\beta}$ **asymptotically**, use instead **exact representations**. These take the form of

- sums associated with partitions coming from representation theory

Recall that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^k |P_N(A, \theta_j)|^{2\beta} d\theta_j.$$

Instead of evaluating $\mathbb{E} \prod_{j=1}^k |P_N(A, \theta_j)|^{2\beta}$ **asymptotically**, use instead **exact representations**. These take the form of

- sums associated with partitions coming from representation theory
- multiple integrals

Outline of Proof of First Theorem

Outline of Proof of First Theorem

Uses Symmetric Function Theory:

Proposition (Bump & Gamburd)

$$\mathbb{E}_{A \in U(N)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k |P_N(A, \theta_j)|^{2\beta} \right) = \frac{s_{\langle N^{k\beta} \rangle}(e^{i\theta})}{\prod_{j=1}^k e^{iN\beta\theta_j}},$$

where $s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the Schur polynomial in n variables with respect to the partition λ , where $\langle \lambda^n \rangle = (\overbrace{\lambda, \dots, \lambda}^n)$, and

$$e^{i\theta} = (e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_k}, \dots, e^{i\theta_k}, e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_k}, \dots, e^{i\theta_k}).$$

Now

$$s_{\langle N^{k\beta} \rangle}(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_T e^{i\theta_1 \tau_1} \dots e^{i\theta_k \tau_k},$$

where the sum is over all semistandard Young tableaux (SSYT) of rectangular shape with $k\beta$ rows by N columns, and

$$\tau_j = t_{2(j-1)\beta+1} + \dots + t_{2j\beta} \quad j \in \{1, \dots, k\}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_T e^{i\theta_1(\tau_1 - N\beta)} \dots e^{i\theta_k(\tau_k - N\beta)} \prod_{j=1}^k d\theta_j \\ &= \sum_{\tilde{T}} 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is now over \tilde{T} , restricted SSYT having $N\beta$ entries from each of the sets

$$\{2\beta(j-1) + 1, \dots, 2j\beta\}, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, k\}.$$

We can now use a well-known relation between the number of SSYT of shape λ with entries in $1, 2, \dots, n$ and the Schur polynomial $s_\lambda(1, \dots, 1)$ (if necessary λ is extended with zeros until it has length n), together with the formula

$$s_\lambda(1, 1, \dots, 1) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j + j - i}{j - i},$$

which is a polynomial in $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$.

Since the set of RSSYT is a proper subset of all SSYT, we have that the number of RSSYT of rectangular shape $\lambda = \langle N^{k\beta} \rangle$ is a polynomial in N of degree less than $k^2\beta^2$.

Outline of Proof of Second Theorem

Outline of Proof of Second Theorem

Define

$$I_{k,\beta}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) = \mathbb{E}_{A \in U(N)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k |P_N(A, \theta_j)|^{2\beta} \right),$$

so that

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} I_{k,\beta}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_k.$$

Outline of Proof of Second Theorem

Define

$$I_{k,\beta}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) = \mathbb{E}_{A \in U(N)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k |P_N(A, \theta_j)|^{2\beta} \right),$$

so that

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k} \int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} I_{k,\beta}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_k.$$

We then use

$$I_{k,\beta}(\underline{\theta}) = \frac{(-1)^{k\beta} e^{-i\beta N \sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j}}{(2\pi i)^{2k\beta} ((k\beta)!)^2} \oint \cdots \oint \frac{e^{-N(z_{k\beta+1} + \cdots + z_{2k\beta})} \Delta(z_1, \dots, z_{2k\beta})^2 dz_1 \cdots dz_{2k\beta}}{\prod_{m \leq k\beta < n} (1 - e^{z_n - z_m}) \prod_{m=1}^{2k\beta} \prod_{n=1}^k (z_m + i\theta_n)^{2\beta}}.$$

We deform each of the $2k\beta$ contours so that any one consists of a sum of k small circles surrounding each of the poles at $-i\theta_1, \dots, -i\theta_k$, given by $\Gamma_{-i\theta_n}$ for $n \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, and connecting straight lines whose contributions will cancel. This leads to a sum of $k^{2k\beta}$ multiple integrals,

$$I_{k,\beta}(\underline{\theta}) = \frac{(-1)^{k\beta} e^{-i\beta N \sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j}}{(2\pi i)^{2k\beta} ((k\beta)!)^2} \sum_{\varepsilon_j \in \{1, \dots, k\}} J_{k,\beta}(\underline{z}; \underline{\theta}; \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{2k\beta}).$$

where

$$J_{k,\beta}(\underline{z}; \underline{\theta}; \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{2k\beta}) = \int_{\Gamma_{-i\theta_{\varepsilon_1}}} \cdots \int_{\Gamma_{-i\theta_{\varepsilon_{2k\beta}}}} \frac{e^{-N(z_{k\beta+1} + \cdots + z_{2k\beta})} \Delta(z_1, \dots, z_{2k\beta})^2 dz_1 \cdots dz_{2k\beta}}{\prod_{m \leq k\beta < n} (1 - e^{z_n - z_m}) \prod_{m=1}^{2k\beta} \prod_{n=1}^k (z_m + i\theta_n)^{2\beta}}.$$

Next we perform the change of variables,

$$z_n = \frac{v_n}{N} - i\alpha_n,$$

shifting all the contours to be small circles surrounding the origin, and then compute the resulting integrals asymptotically as $N \rightarrow \infty$. This gives (after a lengthy calculation)

$$\text{MoM}_N(k, \beta) \sim \gamma_{k,\beta} N^{k^2\beta^2 - k + 1}$$

where

$$\gamma_{k,\beta} = \sum_{\substack{l_1, \dots, l_{k-1} = 0 \\ (\dagger)}}^{2\beta} c_{\underline{l}}(k, \beta) \left((k-1)\beta - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} l_j \right)^{|B_{k,\beta;\underline{l}}| - k + 1} P_{k,\beta}(l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{k,\beta}(l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}) &= \frac{(-1)^{\sum_{\sigma < \tau} |S_{\sigma < \tau}^-|}}{(2\pi i)^{2k\beta} ((k\beta)!)^2} \\
 &\times \int_{\Gamma_0} \dots \int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{e^{-\sum_{m=k\beta+1}^{2k\beta} v_m} \prod_{\substack{m < n \\ \alpha_m = \alpha_n}} (v_n - v_m)^2}{\prod_{\substack{m \leq k\beta < n \\ \alpha_n = \alpha_m}} (v_n - v_m) \prod_{m=1}^{2k\beta} v_m^{2\beta}} \\
 &\times \Psi_{k,\beta; \underline{l}}(((k-1)\beta - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} l_j) \underline{v}) \prod_{m=1}^{2k\beta} dv_m.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have therefore proved the conjecture provided that we can show that $\gamma_{k,\beta} \neq 0$. A (lengthy) calculation shows this to be the case, and that in fact $\gamma_{k,\beta} > 0$.

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{k,\beta}(l_1, \dots, l_{k-1}) &= \frac{(-1)^{\sum_{\sigma < \tau} |S_{\sigma < \tau}^-|}}{(2\pi i)^{2k\beta} ((k\beta)!)^2} \\
 &\times \int_{\Gamma_0} \dots \int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{e^{-\sum_{m=k\beta+1}^{2k\beta} v_m} \prod_{\substack{m < n \\ \alpha_m = \alpha_n}} (v_n - v_m)^2}{\prod_{\substack{m \leq k\beta < n \\ \alpha_n = \alpha_m}} (v_n - v_m) \prod_{m=1}^{2k\beta} v_m^{2\beta}} \\
 &\quad \times \Psi_{k,\beta; \underline{l}}(((k-1)\beta - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} l_j) \underline{v}) \prod_{m=1}^{2k\beta} dv_m.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have therefore proved the conjecture provided that we can show that $\gamma_{k,\beta} \neq 0$. A (lengthy) calculation shows this to be the case, and that in fact $\gamma_{k,\beta} > 0$. Moreover, it follows from the general formula for $\gamma_{k,\beta}$ that it is a polynomial in k and β .

The contour integrals analysed asymptotically above can also be evaluated exactly, using the residue theorem, yielding explicit formulae for the polynomials, For example

$$\text{MoM}_N(1, 1) = N + 1$$

$$\text{MoM}_N(2, 1) = \frac{1}{6}(N + 3)(N + 2)(N + 1)$$

$$\text{MoM}_N(3, 1) = \frac{1}{2520}(N + 5)(N + 4)(N + 3)(N + 2)(N + 1)(N^2 + 6N + 21)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{MoM}_N(4, 1) &= \frac{1}{194594400}(N + 7)(N + 6)(N + 5)(N + 4)(N + 3) \\ &\quad \times (N + 2)(N + 1)(7N^6 + 168N^5 + 1804N^4 + 10944N^3 \\ &\quad + 41893N^2 + 99624N + 154440)\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{MoM}_N(1, 2) = \frac{1}{12}(N + 1)(N + 2)^2(N + 3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MoM}_N(2, 2) &= \frac{1}{163459296000} (N + 7)(N + 6)(N + 5)(N + 4)(N + 3) \\ &\quad \times (N + 2)(N + 1)(298N^8 + 9536N^7 + 134071N^6 + 1081640N^5 \\ &\quad + 549437N^4 + 18102224N^3 + 38466354N^2 \\ &\quad + 50225040N + 32432400) \end{aligned}$$

etc.

Summary

Summary

- The integer (k) moments of the integer (β) moments are polynomials in N of degree $k^2\beta^2 - k + 1$.

Summary

- The integer (k) moments of the integer (β) moments are polynomials in N of degree $k^2\beta^2 - k + 1$.
- This fits with the general conjecture.

Summary

- The integer (k) moments of the integer (β) moments are polynomials in N of degree $k^2\beta^2 - k + 1$.
- This fits with the general conjecture.
- We recover a formula for the leading coefficient in this case.

Summary

- The integer (k) moments of the integer (β) moments are polynomials in N of degree $k^2\beta^2 - k + 1$.
- This fits with the general conjecture.
- We recover a formula for the leading coefficient in this case.
- The proof combines both the representation-theoretic and analytic approaches.

- The integer (k) moments of the integer (β) moments are polynomials in N of degree $k^2\beta^2 - k + 1$.
- This fits with the general conjecture.
- We recover a formula for the leading coefficient in this case.
- The proof combines both the representation-theoretic and analytic approaches.
- The polynomials in question can be computed explicitly.