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## Tribute



- John Wishart (*1898, Montrose, $\dagger 1956$, Acapulco) Biometrika 20A (1928) 32
- Erwin Schroedinger ( $* 1887$, Vienna, $\dagger 1961$, Vienna) Ann. Physik 79 (1926) 361
- Salomon Bochner (*1899, Kraków, †1982, Houston) Math. Z. 29 (1929) 730.
- We consider $x_{i}$ from iid standard Gaussian distribution and we form $y=\sum_{i=1}^{T} x_{i}^{2}$. Pdf of such distribution reads $p(y) \sim y^{T / 2-1} e^{-y / 2}$
Crucial distribution when analyzing variance, testing hypothesis etc..
- We consider vectors $\vec{x}_{i}$ from standard real/complex Gaussian distributions and we form matrix $X$

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{11} & \ldots & x_{1 T} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
x_{N 1} & \ldots & x_{N T}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then we form correlation matrix $M=\frac{1}{T} X X^{\dagger}$.
Wishart distribution (for the complex case and $N \leqslant T$ ) reads $P(M) \sim \operatorname{det} M^{T-N} e^{-T \operatorname{tr} M}$

## Switching to spectra

- $P_{N}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right) \sim \Pi \lambda_{i}^{T-N} e^{-T \sum \lambda_{i}} \Delta(\Lambda)^{2}$
- Slater determinant

$$
P_{N}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right)=\frac{1}{N!}\left[\operatorname{det} \psi_{j-1}^{(N)}\left(\lambda^{k}\right)| |_{j, k=1}^{N}\right]^{2}=\frac{1}{N!}\left[\operatorname{det} K_{N}\left(\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}\right)\right]
$$

with the kernel

$$
K_{N}(\lambda, \mu)=\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \psi_{l}^{(N)}(\lambda) \psi_{l}^{(N)}(\mu)
$$

Here $\psi_{l}^{(N)}(\lambda)=e^{-T \lambda / 2} \lambda^{(T-N) / 2} P_{l}^{(N)}(\lambda)$ is a wave function

- Quantum Mechanics I

Radial Schroedinger eq. for hydrogen atom (in units $2 \mu=1$ ).
Completely integrable system for any $N, T$ !

My favorite citation...

The same equations have the same solutions!

- $\hbar \sim \frac{1}{T} \rightarrow 0$
- Bohr-Sommerfeld formula $\oint p(r) d r=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) 2 \pi \hbar$
- Semi-classically, $p^{2}-\frac{1}{r}+\frac{I(l+1)}{r^{2}}=E$.

In the limit $N, T \rightarrow \infty, N / T=c$ fixed, where $N, T$ are related to $n, l$, Bohr-Sommerfeld formula is Marchenko-Pastur formula for Wishart ensemble (new result?)
-

$$
\int_{r_{-}}^{r_{+}} \rho(x) d x=1 \quad \text { with } \quad \rho(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi c x} \sqrt{\left(r_{+}-x\right)\left(x-r_{-}\right)}
$$

where $r_{ \pm}=(1 \pm \sqrt{c})^{2}$ are classical turning points.

- Note that same reasoning converts the harmonic oscillator ellipse $E=p^{2}+\frac{x^{2}}{4}$ into Wigner semi-ellipse $\rho(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sqrt{4-x^{2}}$ [T. Tao].


## Quantum microscopy



## Quantum microscopy, cont.

- "Bulk"

$$
n_{\text {bulk }} \sim N \int_{x_{0}-s / 2}^{x_{0}+s / 2} \rho(x) d x \sim N s \rho\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

so we have scaling $s \sim 1 /\left(N \rho\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$

- "Soft edge"

$$
n_{\text {soft }} \sim N \int_{-s / 2}^{s / 2} \sqrt{x} d x \sim N s^{3 / 2}
$$

so we have scaling $s \sim 1 / N^{2 / 3}$.

- "Hard edge"

$$
n_{\text {hard }} \sim N \int_{0}^{s} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{x}} \sim N \sqrt{s}
$$

so we have scaling $s \sim 1 / N^{2}$.

## Spectral deformation of the QM projection operator

- Quantum mechanics II $\hat{K}_{N}=\sum_{i}^{N}\left|\psi_{i}><\psi_{i}\right|$ is a projection operator $\left(\hat{K}_{N}^{2}=\hat{K}_{N}\right)$
- Spectral bound $\hat{H}_{N} \leqslant E_{N}$, with $E_{N}=-1 / 4 N^{2}$, combined with pertinent microscopic scaling, shows the deformation of the domain of the operator $\hat{H}$. Deciphering this deformation yields a microscopic form of the kernel $K$ for each pertinent scaling, respectively.


## Bulk

Bound $\hat{H}_{N} \leqslant E_{N}$, or explicitly

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\frac{1}{x} \frac{d}{d x}+\frac{1+2 k+\nu}{2 x}-\frac{\nu^{2}}{4 x^{2}} \geqslant \frac{1}{4}
$$

with the scaling $\frac{x}{T}=x_{0}+\frac{s}{N \rho\left(x_{0}\right)}$, converts in the large $N$ limit ( $k \sim N$, $\nu=T-N$ ) onto $\frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}} \geqslant \frac{\left(x_{0}-r_{+}\right)\left(x_{0}-r_{-}\right)}{4 c^{2} x^{2} \rho^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)}$, therefore $-\frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}} \leqslant \pi^{2}$

- QM suggests the use of plane waves, then $(2 \pi t)^{2} \leqslant \pi^{2}$, so the deformation is the limitation of all possible momenta $t$ to the strip $[-1 / 2,1 / 2]$.
- Identity operator $\mathbf{1}_{t t^{\prime}}=\delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)$ (completeness)
$F\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2 \pi i t^{\prime} s} e^{-2 \pi i t s} d s\right] F(t) d t$ gets deformed to projecion operator

$$
\mathbf{P}\left[F\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{2 \pi i t^{\prime} s} e^{-2 \pi i t s} d s\right] F(t) d t
$$

- Hence the universal Dyson kernel

$$
\delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow K_{\text {sine }}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{2 \pi i t^{\prime} s} e^{-2 \pi i t s} d s=\frac{\sin \left(\pi\left(t^{\prime}-t\right)\right)}{\pi\left(t^{\prime}-t\right)}
$$

## Soft edge

We repeat similar reasoning for soft edge.

- Deformation in the case of soft edge converts the Schroedinger eq. in the large $N$ limit onto the bound $-\frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}}+s \leqslant 0$ (triangular potential). Role of Fourier transforms is played by the pair of Airy transforms

$$
F(t)=A[f(z)]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A i(t-z) f(z) d z
$$

and its inverse

$$
f(z)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) A i(t-z) d t
$$

- This transform leads to the spectral condition

$$
t \leqslant 0
$$

## Soft edge cont.

- Combining both Airy transforms we obtain the identity operator

$$
F\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A i\left(t^{\prime}-z\right) A i(t-z) d z\right] F(t) d t
$$

- The deformation condition projects the above identity operator onto

$$
\mathbf{P}\left[F\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{0} A i\left(t^{\prime}-z\right) A i(t-z) d z\right] F(t) d t
$$

so the kernel, understood as a projection, reads

$$
K_{\text {Airy }}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{0} A i\left(t^{\prime}-z\right) A i(t-z) d z=\frac{A i\left(t^{\prime}\right) A i^{\prime}(t)-A i^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime}\right) A i(t)}{t^{\prime}-t}
$$

where on the r.h.s. we presented the more familiar form of the Airy kernel based on relation

$$
\frac{d}{d z}\left[\frac{A i\left(t^{\prime}-z\right) A i^{\prime}(t-z)-A i^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime}-z\right) A i(t-z)}{t^{\prime}-t}\right]=A i\left(t^{\prime}-z\right) A i(t-z)
$$

## Hard edge

We repeat similar reasoning for the hard edge.

- Deformation in the case of hard edge yields the bound

$$
\Delta_{\nu} \equiv-\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}}-\frac{1}{z} \frac{d}{d z}-\frac{\nu^{2}}{z^{2}} \leqslant 1
$$

where on the l.h.s. we recognize Bessel operator, appearing in quantum mechanical problems with polar angle symmetry and $\nu=T-N \sim O(1)$.

- To see the deformation caused by hard edge scaling in the above equation we define Hankel transform

$$
F_{\nu}(t)=H_{\nu}[f(z)]=\int_{0}^{\infty} z f(z) J_{\nu}(z) d z
$$

and the inverse Hankel transform is given as

$$
f(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t F_{\nu}(t) J_{\nu}(t z)
$$

Since the Hankel transform of the Bessel operator reads $H_{\nu}\left[\Delta_{\nu} f(z)\right]=t^{2} F_{\nu}(t)$, the spectral deformation in dual variable $t$ (note that $t$ cannot be negative) reads simply $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$

## Hard edge - cont.

- Combining both Hankel transforms we obtain (modulo change of the variables) the identity operator

$$
F_{\nu}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} z t J_{\nu}\left(t^{\prime} z\right) J_{\nu}(t z) d z\right] F_{\nu}(t) d t
$$

The deformation condition projects the above identity operator onto

$$
\mathbf{P}\left[F_{\nu}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right]=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\int_{0}^{1} z t J_{\nu}\left(t^{\prime} z\right) J_{\nu}(t z) d z\right] F_{\nu}(t) d t
$$

so the kernel, understood as a projection, reads

$$
K_{\text {Bessel }}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} z t J_{\nu}\left(t^{\prime} z\right) J_{\nu}(t z) d z
$$

- Using Lommel integral we arrive at the more familiar form

$$
K_{\text {Bessel }}(x, y)=\frac{J_{\nu}(\sqrt{x}) J_{\nu}^{\prime}(\sqrt{y}) \sqrt{y}-\sqrt{x} J_{\nu}^{\prime}(\sqrt{x}) J_{\nu}(\sqrt{y})}{2(x-y)}
$$

## No-go theorem

- Bochner theorem

If an infinite sequence of polynomials $P_{n}(x)$ satisfies a second order eigenvalue eq.

$$
p(x) P_{n}^{\prime \prime}+q(x) P_{n}^{\prime}+r(x) P_{n}=\lambda_{n} P_{n}
$$

then $p(x), q(x), r(x)$ must be polynomials of degree 2,1, and 0 , respectively

- If additionally polynomials are orthogonal, the only solutions are polynomials of Jacobi, Laguerre or Hermite
- This leads to universal limit of determinantal processes for Sturm-Louiville operators [Bornemann, 2016], i.e. for the GUE, LUE and JUE (a.k.a. MANOVA) - yielding sine, Airy and Bessel $\beta=2$ universality.


## How to go out from the No-go theorem

- Consider higher order equation comparing to Sturm-Liouville (S-L) problem, e.g. third order diff. equation.
- This leads to nonhermitian operator $\mathcal{H}$.
- Eigenvalue problem is more complicated

$$
\mathcal{H}\left|R_{n}>=\lambda_{n}\right| R_{n}>\quad<L_{n}\left|\mathcal{H}^{\dagger}=\lambda_{n}<L_{n}\right|
$$

where $\mid R_{n}>$ and $\mid L_{n}>$ are right and left eigenvectors to $\lambda_{n}$.

- Quantum mechanics III (nonhermitian):

Right and left eigenvectors are bi-orthogonal, i.e. despite $<L_{n} \mid L_{m}>\neq 0$ and $<R_{n}\left|R_{m}>\neq 0,<L_{n}\right| R_{m}>=\delta_{n m}$

- "Kernel" $\hat{K}_{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|R_{i}><L_{i}\right|$ is a projection operator due to bi-orthogonality, $\hat{K}_{N}^{2}=\hat{K}_{N}$. Since we have also the closure relation ( $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|R_{i}><L_{i}\right|=1$ ), we may try to repeat the "deformation" trick $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{K}}$ even in the cases beyond the S-L.


## Example - Product of $M$ Wishart matrices

[Akemann, Ipsen, Kieburg, 2014]

$$
\mathcal{H}=z \frac{d}{d z}-\frac{d}{d z} \prod_{j=1}^{M}\left(z \frac{d}{d z}+\nu_{j}\right)
$$

"Schroedinger eq." reads $\mathcal{H}\left|R_{k}>=k\right| R_{k}>$, and explicitly

$$
<x \left\lvert\, R_{k}>=G_{1, M+1}^{1,0}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}
k+1 \\
0,-\nu_{M}, \ldots,-\nu_{1}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, x\right)\right.
$$

$v_{i}$ measure rectangularity of Wisharts, $G \ldots-$ Meijer function.
From $<f\left|\mathcal{H} g>=<\mathcal{H}^{\dagger} f\right| g>$ we read out

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\dagger}=-z \frac{d}{d z}-1+(-1)^{M} \frac{d}{d z} \prod_{j=1}^{M}\left(z \frac{d}{d z}-\nu_{j}\right)
$$

with explicit solution for $<L_{k}\left|\mathcal{H}^{\dagger}=k<L_{k}\right|$

$$
<L_{k} \left\lvert\, x>=G_{1, M+1}^{M, 1}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}
-k \\
\nu_{M}, \ldots, \nu_{1}, 0
\end{array} \right\rvert\, x\right)\right.
$$

- "Halloween hat" singularity for the product of $M$ Wisharts $\rho(r) \sim r^{-M /(M+1)}$ dictates microscopic scaling at the origin i.e. $z=N s$.
- The Sch. equation leads therefore to the deformation (bound)

$$
\mathcal{H}(z) \rightarrow \Delta_{\vec{\nu}}^{(M+1)}(s) \equiv-\frac{d}{d s} \prod_{j=1}^{M}\left(s \frac{d}{d s}+\nu_{j}\right) \leqslant 1
$$

- To unravel this bound we use the pair of Narain transforms.

$$
g(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} k(s, t) f(t) d t, \quad f(t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} h(t, y) g(y) d y
$$

where the integral kernels read

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k(s, t)=2 \gamma x^{\gamma-1 / 2} G_{p+q, m+n}^{m, p}\left(\left.\begin{array}{l}
a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q} \\
c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}
\end{array} \right\rvert\,(s t)^{2 \gamma}\right) \\
& h(y, t)=2 \gamma x^{\gamma-1 / 2} G_{p+q, m+n}^{n, q}\left(\left.\begin{array}{l}
-b_{1}, \ldots,-b_{q},-a_{1}, \ldots,-a_{p} \\
-d_{1}, \ldots,-d_{n},-c_{1}, \ldots,-c_{m}
\end{array} \right\rvert\,(y t)^{2 \gamma}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Universal hard kernel for the product of Wisharts

- In our case, kernels read

$$
k(s, y)=\sigma_{0, M+1}^{M, 0}\left(\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{M}, 0 \mid s y\right), \quad h(y, t)=\sigma_{0, M+1}^{1,0}\left(0,-\nu_{1}, \ldots,-\nu_{M} \mid t y\right) .
$$

- in dual to $s$ variable $t$, the bound $\Delta_{\vec{\nu}}^{(M+1)}(s) \leqslant 1$ reads simply $t \leqslant 1$
- Identity operator $g(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} k(x, t) h(t, y) d t\right] g(y) d y$ gets deformed onto

$$
\mathbf{P}[g(x)]=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\int_{0}^{1} k(x, t) h(t, y) d t\right] g(y) d y
$$

- Hence the kernel reads explicitly

$$
K_{M}^{\text {hard }}(x, y)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{0, M+1}^{1,0}\left(0,-\nu_{1}, \ldots,-\nu_{M} \mid s x\right) G_{0, M+1}^{M, 0}\left(\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{M}, 0 \mid s y\right) d s .
$$

in agreement with [Kuijlaars, Zhang, 2014].

- For $M=1, G_{0,2}^{1,0}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}- \\ \nu, 0\end{array} \right\rvert\, x\right)=x^{\nu / 2} J_{\nu}(2 \sqrt{x})$, so one recovers the Bessel Kernel. Narain transform generalizes Hankel transform.


## Example 2 - Muttalib-Borodin Ensemble

- $P(\lambda) \sim \Delta(\lambda) \Delta\left(\lambda^{\theta}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_{k}^{\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{k}}$ where $\alpha \geqslant-1$ and $\theta \geqslant 0$.
- $\theta=2$ - 3rd order non Hermitian diff. equation [Spencer, Fano (1951)] (paper on $X$-rays through matter (sic!))
- General $\theta$ : Duality between product of $M$ Wisharts and $M-B$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
M & \leftrightarrow \theta  \tag{1}\\
\nu_{i}=T_{i}-N_{i} & \leftrightarrow \quad \nu_{i}=\frac{i}{M}-1, \quad \text { where } i=1, \ldots, M
\end{align*}
$$

- same kernel as Wishart product kernel, by consequitive operations
(1) Microscopic scaling $x=u N^{-\frac{1}{\theta}}$
(2) Large $N$ limit
(3) Change of the variables $u=\theta s^{\frac{1}{\theta}}$ in agreement with [Kuijlaars, Stivigny, 2014]
- Analogy to Borodin-like duality similar to relation between Laguerre-generalized Hermite
- Insights from QM offer a pedagogical way to understand Borodin-Olshanski method and provide an easy alternative to advanced tools alike Plancherel-Rotach limit of orthogonal polynomials or asymptotics of Riemann-Hilbert problem
- (?) Possibility of systematic extensions of S-L problem (standard approach is based either on replacement of differential operators by difference operators (Askey-Wilson scheme) or higher order OPS (Bochner-Krall))
- (?) QM insights for the general $\beta \neq 2$ ?
- (?) Generalization for non-hermitian systems?


## RMT faces Dataism [S. Lohr, 2015; Y. N. Harari, 2016]
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